

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,003	07/10/2003		Louis Kovach	69010-263	3096	
7	590	02/01/2006		EXAMINER		
Brian M Berli Omelveny & M		l	MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J			
400 South Hop	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Los Angeles, (			3617			

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,003	KOVACH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert J. McCarry, Jr.	3617
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a continuous notation.  Begin of the continuous continuous notation of the continuous notation to become Alexandre of the continuous notation of	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the condition.</li> </ol>	This action is non-final. owance except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Application/Control Number: 10/617,003 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 53 contains the trademarks/trade names Trainmaster. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 USC 112, second paragraph. The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus a trademark or trade name does not associate with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify /describe a train operating system for controlling movement and sounds simulations on a model train network and accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,003

Art Unit: 3617

Claims 1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Young et al (US 5,749,547).

Young et al discloses an apparatus for controlling a model train, on a track, comprised of a hand held remote control having a plurality of push button selection devices, shown in figure 2. The remote control generates digital messages based on the selection device pressed by the user. The digital message is sent from the handheld controller to a transmitter 14, connected between the controller and the track, which then sends the signal to the track to be received by the train's receiver 26. The push buttons on the remote control the speed and direction of the train as well as various sounds. A wheel 36 on the remote control is moved to adjust the signal to the transmitter so as to control the speed of the train. The transmitter is operated by first and second resistors coupled to respective capacitors, as shown in figures 8 and 11. A series of transistors are also coupled in the circuit with the resistors and capacitors. The push buttons on the remote control act as electromechanical relays to send a signal and the transmitter sends the signal as a DC offset so as to be received by the train's receiver 26. The remote control is further capable of operating a plurality of trains by using the number keypad on the remote control to switch between the various trains. This feature is described in column 5, lines 60-67 as well as column 7, lines 10-25.

Regarding claims 31-37 drawn to the method of controlling a train. Young et al discloses the same structure, as described above, as those features recited in the present invention. It is inherent that Young et al would use the same method for operating the system.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,003

Art Unit: 3617

Regarding claim 53, which recites that the system utilizes the Trainmaster command protocol product. Applicant has stated in the arguments filed on 11/22/05 that the prior art "Young et al discloses a model train control system that operates in accordance with the train master protocol described above."

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/22/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art does not disclose a model train controller being capable of communicating in multiple command protocols. The prior art clearly states that it can operate multiple trains in the same system and can be programmed to run trains as well as accessories. This is interpreted and operating a plurality of protocols. Applicant also recites in the claims that the model trains only need be compliant with at least one of plural command protocols. Therefore, as long as the prior art complies to one protocol or only uses one protocol, the prior art will read on the instant claim in that multiple protocols are not necessary.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/617,003

Art Unit: 3617

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. McCarry, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-6683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00am to 3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert J. McCarry, Jr

Examiner
Art Unit 3617

RJM January 26, 2006

> S. JOSEPH MORANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

Page 5